

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X

In re:

Chapter 11

MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, f/k/a  
GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Case No. 09-50026 (MG)  
(Jointly Administered)

Debtors.

-----X

MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY AVOIDANCE  
ACTION TRUST, by and through the Wilmington Trust  
Company, solely in its capacity as Trust Administrator and  
Trustee,

Plaintiff

Adversary Proceeding Case

against

No. 09-00504 (MG)

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., *et al.*,

Defendants.

----- X

**STIPULATION AND ORDER AMENDING AND SUPERSEDING CERTAIN PRIOR  
ORDERS REGARDING DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING**

**WHEREAS**, on August 17, 2015, the Court entered an *Order Regarding Discovery and Scheduling* (ECF No. 153) (the “**August 17, 2015 Order**”), setting forth the schedule for discovery in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the “**Action**”);

**WHEREAS**, on March 28, 2016, the Court entered the *Second Stipulation and Order Amending and Supplementing Order Regarding Discovery and Scheduling [ECF 153]* (ECF No. 442) (the “**March 28, 2016 Order**”), paragraph 1 of which amended the August 17, 2015 Order to establish separate, later discovery and motion deadlines relating to certain cross-claims filed against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“**JPMorgan**”) (the “**Cross-Claim Discovery**”);

**WHEREAS**, on May 4, 2016, the Court entered an *Order Amending the August 17, 2015 “Order Regarding Discovery and Scheduling” To Provide For Proceedings Concerning*

*Characterization and Valuation of Representative Assets* (ECF No. 547) (the “**May 4, 2016 Order**”), staying certain discovery identified in paragraph 2 of the May 4, 2016 Order (the “**Stayed Discovery**”) and setting discovery and motion deadlines for additional proceedings addressing the Collateral Identification Issues and Valuation Principles Issues (as defined in the May 4, 2016 Order) with respect to 40 representative assets selected by the parties (the “**Representative Assets**”), while otherwise leaving the deadlines in the August 17, 2015 Order in effect;

**WHEREAS**, on June 22, 2016, the Court entered the *Stipulation and Order Amending and Supplementing Order Regarding Discovery and Scheduling* (ECF Doc. # 153) (ECF No. 634) (the “**June 22, 2016 Order**”), which amended the discovery deadlines established in the August 17, 2015 Order with respect to discovery concerning the circumstances of the filing of the UCC-3 at issue in this Action (the “**UCC-3 Discovery**”), to align the deadlines concerning UCC-3 Discovery with the deadlines concerning Cross-Claim Discovery, as established by the March 28, 2016 Order;

**WHEREAS**, on August 3, 2016, the Court entered the *Stipulation and Order Amending and Superseding Prior Orders Regarding Discovery and Scheduling* (ECF Nos. 153 and 547) (ECF No. 696) (the “**August 3, 2016 Order**”), which amended the discovery deadlines established in the August 17, 2015 Order and May 4, 2016 Order with respect to all discovery other than the Cross-Claim Discovery, the UCC-3 Discovery, and the Stayed Discovery;

**WHEREAS**, on December 2, 2016, the Court entered the *Stipulation and Order Amending and Superseding Certain Prior Orders Regarding Discovery and Scheduling* (ECF No. 805) (the “**December 2, 2016 Order**”), which amended the discovery deadlines established

in the August 3, 2016 Order with respect to all discovery other than Cross-Claim Discovery, the UCC-3 Discovery and the Stayed Discovery;

**WHEREAS**, the Court held a trial on 40 Representative Assets and certain additional issues between April and June 2017;

**WHEREAS**, the Court issued its decision on the issues raised at the 40 Representative Assets trial on September 26, 2017 (and as corrected on October 4, 2017, the “**Decision**”);

**WHEREAS**, as set forth in the December 2, 2016 Scheduling Order, after receiving the Decision, Plaintiff and the members of the Defendants’ Steering Committee, along with the Motors Liquidation Company General Unsecured Creditors Trust and other parties in interest, have attempted to mediate a resolution of the Action;

**WHEREAS**, Plaintiff and the members of the Defendants’ Steering Committee have jointly concluded that a comprehensive, mediated resolution of the Action is not possible at this time;

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to the December 2, 2016 Scheduling Order, other than UCC-3 Discovery and Cross-Claim Discovery, all discovery concerning any defense asserted by any defendant and discovery with respect to Collateral Identification Issues and Valuation Principles Issues for collateral other than the Representative Assets has been stayed;

**WHEREAS**, as contemplated by the December 2, 2016 Scheduling Order, Plaintiff and the members of the Defendants’ Steering Committee have met and conferred “as to the schedule for the reopening of fact discovery and the appropriateness of summary judgment or additional trials on any issues not yet addressed by the Court”;

**WHEREAS**, Plaintiff and the members of the Defendants’ Steering Committee have also identified (a) a number of disputes that one or both parties believe can be addressed through motion practice immediately, without additional discovery (“**Motion Issues**”) (as set out in the pre-motion letters filed contemporaneously herewith); (b) a number of disputes as to which both parties believe additional discovery is required or as to which there is a dispute about whether additional discovery is required before the issues are capable of resolution (“**Initial Discovery and Trial Issues**”) (as set out in the pre-motion letters filed herewith or the responses thereto); and (c) a number of disputes that the parties agree should be the subject of additional mediation sessions (“**Mediation Issues**”) but that may require motion practice, additional discovery, and/or trial in the future if not resolved through mediation (a schedule listing the Mediation Issues and scheduled mediation dates for each Mediation Issue is attached as Exhibit A hereto);

**WHEREAS**, as also contemplated by the December 2, 2016 Scheduling Order, counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for the members of the Defendants’ Steering Committee now submit to the Court this proposed schedule for additional proceedings regarding Motion Issues, Initial Discovery and Trial Issues, and Mediation Issues;

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and among counsel for the undersigned parties:

1. **Motion Issues:** The following deadlines shall apply to Motion Issues:

**July 31, 2018**

Pre-motion letters to the Court identifying the issues that each party contends should be addressed by the Court through motion practice without additional discovery

**Aug. 10, 2018**

Responses to pre-motion letters

<b>Date to be determined by the Court</b>	Pre-motion conference
<b>30 days from Pre-motion conference</b>	Opening briefs on Motion Issues due
<b>60 days from Pre-motion conference</b>	Opposition briefs on Motion Issues due
<b>75 days from Pre-motion conference</b>	Reply briefs on Motion Issues due
<b>Date(s) to be determined by the Court</b>	Oral argument on Motion Issues

2. To the extent that the Court declines to hear one or more of the Motion Issues or one or more of the Motion Issues are not fully resolved through motion practice, the parties will meet and confer and determine whether the issue or issues should be addressed first through additional mediation sessions or whether the issue should be addressed through additional discovery and/or trial. Following any agreed upon mediation sessions on the issue, the parties will meet and confer on a proposed schedule for additional discovery and/or trial on the issue and submit that schedule to the Court.

3. **Initial Discovery and Trial Issues:** Document discovery on Initial Discovery and Trial Issues will resume on August 14, 2018.

4. Within 30 days from the pre-motion conference with the Court, the parties shall submit a comprehensive discovery plan for Initial Discovery and Trial Issues. Should the parties agree after additional discovery that additional mediation sessions on any of the Initial Discovery and Trial Issues would be useful, the parties will so inform the Court.

5. **Mediation Issues:** The parties agree that they will continue to engage in mediation regarding the Mediation Issues. A schedule listing the Mediation Issues and the

agreed to dates for each session is attached as Exhibit A. The parties will file monthly reports on the status of mediation efforts on or before the last business day of each month, beginning August 30, 2018. To the extent a party believes that there are issues on which continued mediation is no longer useful, the parties will identify that issue for the Court in the monthly status reports. For any such issues that are identified for the Court, the parties will also meet and confer with regard to a proposed schedule for motion practice, additional discovery, and/or trial and present the proposed schedule to the Court.

6. **Adjustments to Schedule:** Each party reserves its rights to apply to the Court for adjustment of any of the deadlines herein, and each party reserves its right to oppose any such application. In particular, the parties may apply jointly to the Court for an extension at any time if they believe that resumed mediation of a global resolution of the Action may be fruitful.

7. Nothing in this Scheduling Order is intended to modify the schedule for Cross-Claim Discovery, which shall remain stayed, subject to either side's right to seek a lift of the stay after meeting and conferring and providing 30-days' notice of the intent to seek a lift of the stay.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 31, 2018

New York, New York  
July 31, 2018

**BINDER & SCHWARTZ LLP**

**WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ**

By:       /s/ Eric B. Fisher      

By:       /s/ Marc Wolinsky      

Eric B. Fisher  
Neil S. Binder  
Lindsay A. Bush  
Lauren K. Handelsman  
366 Madison Avenue, 6th Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
Telephone: (212) 510-7008  
Facsimile: (212) 510-7299  
Email: [efisher@binderschwartz.com](mailto:efisher@binderschwartz.com)

Harold S. Novikoff  
Marc Wolinsky  
Amy R. Wolf  
51 West 52nd Street  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 403-1000  
Email: [MWolinsky@wlrk.com](mailto:MWolinsky@wlrk.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Motors Liquidation  
Company Avoidance Action Trust*

**KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP**

By:       /s/ John M. Callagy      

John M. Callagy  
Nicholas J. Panarella  
Martin A. Krolewski  
101 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10178  
Telephone: (212) 808-7800  
Email: [jcallagy@kelleydrye.com](mailto:jcallagy@kelleydrye.com)

**JONES DAY**

By:       /s/ C. Lee Wilson      

C. Lee Wilson  
250 Vesey Street  
New York, New York 10281  
Telephone: (212) 326-3885  
Email: [clwilson@jonesday.com](mailto:clwilson@jonesday.com)

*Attorneys for Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.*

**JONES DAY**

By:  /s/ Bruce Bennett

Bruce Bennett  
Erin L. Burke  
555 South Flower Street, 50th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 489-3939  
Email: bbennett@jonesday.com  
Email: eburke@jonesday.com

Gregory M. Shumaker  
Christopher J. DiPompeo  
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Telephone: (202) 879-3939  
Email: gshumaker@jonesday.com  
Email: cdipompeo@jonesday.com

**MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP**

By:  /s/ John W. Spiegel

John W. Spiegel  
Matthew A. Macdonald  
Bradley R. Schneider  
350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 683-9100  
Email: john.spiegel@mto.com  
Email: matthew.macdonald@mto.com  
Email: bradley.schneider@mto.com

Nicholas D. Fram  
560 Mission Street, 27th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94105  
Telephone: (415) 512-4000  
Email: nicholas.fram@mto.com

*Attorneys for the Term Loan Lenders Listed on  
Appendix A to Dkt. No. 241*



**KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES & FRIEDMAN  
LLP**

By:  /s/ Andrew K. Glenn

Andrew K. Glenn  
Joshua N. Paul  
Michelle G. Bernstein  
Frank S. DiCarlo  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700  
Email: aglenn@kasowitz.com  
Email: jpaul@kasowitz.com  
Email: mgenet@kasowitz.com  
Email: fdicarlo@kasowitz.com

*Attorneys for the Ad Hoc Group of Term Lenders  
listed in Appendix A to Dkt. No. 670*

**HAHN & HESSEN LLP**

By:  /s/ Mark T. Power

Mark T. Power  
Alison M. Ladd  
488 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 478-7200  
Email: mpower@hahnessen.com  
Email: aladd@hahnessen.com

*Attorneys for Certain Term Loan Investor Defendants  
identified on Exhibit I to Dkt. No. 788*

**DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP**

By:  /s/ Elliot Moskowitz  
Elliot Moskowitz  
Marc J. Tobak  
M. Nick Sage  
450 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
Email: [elliot.moskowitz@davispolk.com](mailto:elliot.moskowitz@davispolk.com)  
Email: [marc.tobak@davispolk.com](mailto:marc.tobak@davispolk.com)  
Email: [m.nick.sage@davispolk.com](mailto:m.nick.sage@davispolk.com)

*Attorneys for Certain Term Loan Lender  
Defendants identified on Exhibit 1 to Dkt. No. 788*

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August \_\_, 2018  
New York, New York

/s/  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**Exhibit A (Mediation Issues)**

to July 31, 2018 Stipulation and Order Amending and  
Superseding Certain Prior Orders Regarding Discovery and Scheduling

<u>Dispute</u>	<u>Mediation Schedule</u>
1. <b>Portable/Mobile Assets:</b> Collateral status of disputed assets that plaintiff argues are non-fixtures because they are portable/mobile	August 7 (initial session) August 29 (follow-up session)
2. <b>Valuation of Certain New GM Assets with Negative, Zero or Blank eFAST Values:</b> Valuation of certain assets that have negative, zero, or blank values in GM's eFAST ledger	September 27 (initial session) October 3 (follow-up session)
3. <b>Intangible Assets:</b> Collateral status and valuation of disputed assets that plaintiff argues are non-fixtures because they are intangible	September 27 (initial session) October 3 (follow-up session)
4. <b>Ordinary Building Materials Assets:</b> Collateral status of disputed assets that plaintiff argues are non-fixtures because they are ordinary building materials or real property	October 16-17 (initial sessions) November 6 (follow-up session)
5. <b>Classification and valuation of CWIP assets:</b> Collateral status and valuation of disputed Construction Work in Progress ("CWIP") assets that defendants argue are fixtures	TBD after additional discovery
6. <b>Saturn Special Tools:</b> Collateral status and valuation of Saturn Special Tools at facilities covered by Saturn UCC-1	TBD after additional discovery