

DATE 20, 2013

DEAR JUDGE GERBER:
PLEASE SEE P.S.
@ BOTTOM

DAVID H. FOX, PRO-SE
85 MASS. AVE.
#155
CAMBRIDGE, MASS,
02138

DEWUIS JACOBS, CHIEF JUDGE
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, 2nd CIRCUIT
40 FOLEY SQ.
N.Y., N.Y., 10007

DEAR YOUR HONOR:

THE ATTACHED MOTION IS SELF-EXPLANATORY
SO THERE WILL BE NO REDUNDANCY HERE.
AS A RESPONSIBLE MEMBER OF THE
JUDICIARY AND CHIEF JUSTICE OF THIS COURT
YOU HAVE TO SEE THIS MATTER IS NOT
HANDLED PROPERLY.

MR. FOX, PRO-SE HAS FILED NUMEROUS MOTIONS
(APPARANTLY), UNANSWERED BY THE COURT, FOR THIS
COURT TO VERIFY THE FACTS AND LAW AS
ASSERTED BY THE APPELLANT.

SOMEHOW THE COURT TRIBUNAL IS MIS-DIRECTED
IN THE MARCH 13th MANDATE AS THE
APPELLANT WAS NOT INFORMED OF THE HEARING
OR THE TRIBUNAL MEMBERS [AS RICHARD ALCANTARA]
INFORMED APPELLANT, THAT IS THE PROCEDURE].
TOO MANY MISTAKES JUDGE JACOBS; PLEASE CORRECT

THIS WITH A RECALL OF MANDATE AND RE-TRIAL.

SERVICE AS NOTED
ON MOTION TO
APPLICABLE PARTIES, ETAL.

D.H.F., 5/28/13

RESPECTFULLY
D.H.F., PRO-SE
DAVID H. FOX - "

P.S. PERHAPS JUDGE GERBER WILL SEE THE MISTAKE OF THE
TRIBUNAL AND GRANT COMPENSATORY DAMAGES.

ALL COURTS HAVE COPIES OF MY TELEPHONE NOTES FROM LOS ON.

D.H.F.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS PART OF A REQUEST FOR A REVIEW OF THIS MATTER.

MR. FOX, PRO-SE CAME UP WITH THE IDEA OF THE SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE [VERIFIED BY SIMPLE CONTACT WITH JOE BIDEN AND/OR PATRICK LEAHY] TO OVERSEE THESE KIND OF PROBLEMS WITH THE COURTS: DO THEY (IT) DO THIS? MR. FOX, PRO-SE ALSO HAD THE U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT ESTABLISHED [THROUGH THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNITED STATES] AFTER NUMEROUS REQUEST TO DO SO: BANKRUPTCY NEEDS TO BE OVERHAULED AS IT HAS BECOME CRIMINAL IN NATURE: [PEOPLE GO INTO BUSINESS KNOWING THEY CAN DECLARE BANKRUPTCY, THESE FACTS ARE ALREADY "BURIED" IN THE RECORD AND ALL OF YOUR MEMORIES].

MR. FOX, PRO-SE HAS ALREADY SUFFERED MALICIOUS DAMAGE TO HIS CURRENT CAR [MAYBE BY PEOPLE WHO MAKE MONEY OFF OF THAT?]: THERE ARE ALSO PEOPLE I RECOGNIZE AS CONNECTED TO THIS AND OTHER MATTERS, TAKING CELL PHONE PICTURES, WITHOUT MY PERMISSION, DOING ACTIVITIES THAT ARE PART OF MY TRAVEL RESPONSIBILITIES (CLASSIFIED ALSO): MOST PROBABLY TO ATTEMPT A "SLUR" AGAINST ME: PLEASE WATCH FOR THIS AS I FIRMLY BELIEVE THERE IS OTHER EX-PARTE COMMUNICATIONS MADE IN REGARDS TO THIS MATTER.

THERE ARE "TRUSTEES" I HAVE WORKED WITH AS AN UNWOLUNTARY VOLUNTEER WHO ARE NOT LISTED BY OPPOSING COUNSEL WHO ARE ALSO VINDICTIVE TOWARDS MR. FOX, PRO-SE.

I TRUST YOU ALL WILL ADDRESS THESE CONCERNS TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES.

SERVICE AS NOTED.
ON THIS DATE

5/24/13 D-7A-71

SINCERELY
D-7A-71, PRO-SE

THE FEDERAL RECORD WILL SHOW THIS AND VERIFY THE FACT OF LAW AND THE FACT OF THIS MATTER AS COURT OF COURT BY C.M.I.C. AND C.M.I.C.'S OCCASION

I HAS TO BE OBVIOUS TO ANY CLEAR THINKING COURT OF JUSTICE THAT AN ACCURATE ERROR IS COMMITTED BY IGNORING THE FACT OF AND MISMANAGEMENT BY AND OF A MAJOR U.S. LAW THAT MR. FOX, PRO-SE HAS LITIGATED THIS MATTER BEFORE IN THIS APPEALS COURT, ET AL. IT IS MENTIONED FOR THIS COURT TO RESEARCH PREVIOUS LITIGATION TO VERIFY THAT THIS MANDATE OF MARCH 12TH 2013 IS INCORRECT IN WHAT IS ASSERTED NOW, AND IN REGARDS TO THE PREVIOUS LITIGATION THAT ESTABLISHES A PRECEDENT: GEORGE BUSH SR. WENT THROUGH THIS AS DID FOX, PRO-SE.

MOTION TO RECALL MANDATE FOR APPELLANT MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND MOTION FOR AN IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL

DAVA H. FOX, PRO-SE
APPELLANT
V.
MOTORS LIQUIDATORS CO.
FOR GENERAL MOTORS INC.
ET AL
APPELEE
DOCKET # 12-1798

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND CIRCUIT
NEW YORK

BANKRUPTCY TO AVOID A COURT ORDERED SUBPOENA AND A RESULTING CONTEMPT OF COURT FINDING IN CIRCUIT COURT OF FLORIDA. MR. FOX PRO-SE HAS ARGUED THIS (AND MORE) REPEATEDLY AS THE RECORD WILL SHOW, THIS DEMONSTRATES BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THE ENTITLEMENT TO COMPENSATORY DAMAGES. THE TRIBUNAL OF THE MANDATE APPEARS TO INDULGE IN § MALICIOUS PROSECUTION IN THE HANDLING OF PRACTICING LAW AGAINST THE APPELLANT INSTEAD OF BEING IMPARTIAL. THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT MUST BE NOTIFIED BY THIS COURT OF THE ERRANT BEHAVIOR OF THE MARCH 12TH TRIBUNAL; WHEREFORE THIS MOTION TO RECALL THE MANDATE AND HAVE AN IMPARTIAL AND INFORMED TRIBUNAL REVIEW THIS MATTER CORRECTLY.

SERVICE

A TRUE COPY IS SERVED BY U.S. MAIL TO U.S. DISTRICT COURT U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT WELLS, GOSWALD & MANAGES FOR METROAS LIQUIDATORS ET AL ON THIS DATE 5/28/13 2/2/7.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
 D. H. FOX PRO-SE
 DANA H-FOX - "

I CERTIFY THAT COPIES SENT TO
 US BANKRUPTCY COURT BY U.S.
 DISTRICT COURT WITH
 WELL, CREDIT-MARKETS FOR MATTERS
 ON THIS DATE 4/9/13
 0-71-7

IT APPEARS, ALTHOUGH THE COURT MENTIONS LAW OR FACT
 OF LITIGATION AS A FACTUAL BASIS OF COMPENSATION
 AND REFERS: NINE YEARS OF LITIGATION IS NOT A
 "MOOT" ISSUE. [OR FRIVOLOUS]
 THE FACT THAT GENERAL MOTORS, INC. IS A RETAIL
 OFFENDER IS NOT A "MOOT" ISSUE. [OR FRIVOLOUS].
 A REVIEW OF PRO-SE'S BRIEF + APPENDIX EXEMPLIFIES
 THE PRESUMPTION OF FACT AND LAW AS DOES THE
 OTHERS RECORDED: RIGHT DOWN TO GENERAL MOTORS, INC.
 COMING TO BE HELD IN SCOURTMENT OF COURT FOR
 FAILURE TO ANSWER A COURT ORDERED SUBPOENA IN
 CIRCUIT COURT IN FLORIDA [FACT & LAW]: [COM-INC CASE
 * TO AVOID *] AND THE QUESTION
 IS RAISED IN ALL COURTS INVOLVED OF, PREVIOUS LITIGATION
 [IN PAPER FILES] THAT MUST BE RECOGNIZED AS A
 FURTHER BASIS TO ESTABLISH FACT AND LAW. AND DOES
 NOT WARRANT A REFERENCE OF "FRIVOLOUS OR MOOT"
 THIS ALLEGED HONORABLE COURT CANNOT IGNORE THE
 "SUBSTANCE" OF THIS MATTER AS IT RISKS BEING
 "MOOT" BY ITS LACK OF APPROPRIATE ACTION [SORET]:
 AND ALSO RISKS DEROGATION OF DUTY IN ITS DISMISSAL.
 INTERESTS, THIS MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION.

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND LATE ENTRY (IF NECESSARY) JUST AS YD
 4/9/13

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
 SECOND CIRCUIT NEW YORK

DAWA H. FOX, PRO-SE
 APPELLANT

V.

MOTORS LIQUIDATORS, ET AL

APPEEES)

March 12, 2013
 Docket # 12-1798bk

Docket # 11-cv-9087

CC

APPELLANT SUBMITTED
 DAWA H. FOX PRO-SE
 DAWA H. FOX

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND CIRCUIT NEW YORK

DANA H-FOX, PRO-SE	}	DOCKET # 11 CV 9007
APPELLANT		
v.	}	MARCH 12, 2013 DOCKET # 12-7986k
MOTORS LIQUIDATORS, ET AL		
APPELLEES)	

MOTION FOR A DIFFERENT
TRIBUNAL
AND ADDENDUM TO
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

MR. FOX PRO-SE FILES THIS MOTION FOR A DIFFERENT TRIBUNAL FOR THIS RECONSIDERATION TO ENSURE IMPARTIALITY.

IT IS ALSO NOTED, IN ADDENDUM, THAT THE COURT CANNOT IGNORE THE FACT THAT BANKRUPTCY COURT RECOGNIZED THE NEED TO COMPENSATE MR. FOX, PRO-SE FOR THE AUTOMOBILE IN QUESTION. HOW CAN THIS COURT REFUSE TO RECOGNIZE THAT ADDITIONAL RELIEF AND COMPENSATION IS JUST AS § DAMAGES AWARDS FOR TIME [AND ALL THE AGGRAVATION THAT GOES ALONG WITH TIME EXPENDITURE]... § REPEAT OFFENSE OF ~~DESIGNING~~ → DESIGNING COMPLICATED FUNCTIONS IN AN AUTOMOBILE SO AS TO BE POTENTIALLY LIFE THREATENING. § REPEAT OFFENSE OF MIS-MANAGING A MAJOR U.S. & INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION TO THE POINT OF BANKRUPTCY [TO AVOID A COURT ORDERED SHUTDOWN?]

WHEREFORE THIS MOTION FOR A DIFFERENT TRIBUNAL AS THE FIRST FAILED TO RECOGNIZE ITS LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY. AND THIS ADDENDUM IS ALSO SUBMITTED.

I CERTIFY THAT TRUE COPIES ARE SERVED BY U.S. MAIL TO:
 U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
 U.S. DISTRICT " "
 WELL OFFICIAL MAILBOX FOR MOTORS LIQUIDATORS
 ON THIS DATE 4/9/13
 D.H. Fox

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
 D.H. Fox, PRO-SE
 DANA H-FOX " "