

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
managan salah perumanan mengalah melanggan perumanan mengangan dipagan mengangan dipagan mengangan dipagan menganggan dipagan menganggan dipagan menganggan dipagan menganggan dipagan menganggan dipagan dipa		
	, ,	
In re:), 1	CI 11
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPA	NY, et al.,)	Chapter 11
f/k/a General Motors Corp., e	tal.,	Case No. 09-50026
	Debtors.	Jointly Administered

ENDORSED ORDER

The GUC Trust need not respond.

UNITED STATES BANKBURTCY COURT

The district court and Second Circuit have already addressed Mr. Fox's appeal from this Court's earlier rulings, and this Court cannot sit as a court of appeals with respect to either of their determinations. With respect to their decisions on appeal, there is no basis for this Court to grant any different or other relief.

Under the earlier orders of this Court, Mr. Fox's duplicate secured claim was disallowed and his remaining secured claim was reclassified as a general unsecured claim. The reclassified claim was later fixed and allowed as a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$19,500, representing the full amount of Mr. Fox's actual and permitted damages. Mr. Fox is entitled to the plan treatment under the Plan for that claim, but nothing more. Upon completion of the necessary steps as set out in the distribution package mailed to Mr. Fox and other Old GM creditors by Wilmington Trust, Mr. Fox would receive plan distributions in the same currency (*i.e.*, form) that all other general unsecured claimants of Old GM would receive theirs—mainly New GM stock and warrants.

The Court suggests, though it does not order, that Mr. Fox complete the necessary steps to receive his distribution under the Plan. As Mr. Fox noted in one of his many earlier filings (that of May 25, 2013, ECF #12443), he has filed "numerous motions" in this Court. While he is entitled to deference as a pro se claimant (and the Court has read his submissions, to determine whether, however he stated his claims, he had an entitlement to additional relief), his many pleadings show an entitlement to no more than that.

The GUC Trust is encouraged, but not ordered, to send Mr. Fox an additional distribution package to the address noted on his most recent motion to this Court, and to take any other steps the GUC Trust considers appropriate to assist Mr. Fox in receiving his distribution.

মাধ্যমান্ত্ৰের কর্মান ক্ষেত্র ক্ষেত্র ক্ষেত্র প্রথম একের করে ক্ষেত্র এই সংখ্যা করিব করে । ক্ষেত্র ক্ষিত্র ক্ষা একর মুখ্য মাধ্যমান্ত্র ক্ষাত্র হাই প্রথমে একের করে জন্ম ক্ষাত্র করে ইউন এক এক ক্ষেত্র ক্ষাত্র ক্ষিত্র ক্ষাত্র একক মুখ্যমান্ত্রিক ক্ষাত্র ক্ষাত্র ক্ষাত্র প্রথম প্রথম করে হয় করে করে এক বিভাগত করে করে করে ক্ষাত্র ক্ষাত্রক

and properties of the the statement within for the state early to an initial designifications.

09-50026-reg Doc 12578 Filed 12/16/13 Entered 01/06/14 12:31:12 Main Document Pg 3 of 5

The Court has tried to write this order in plain English. It urges (though it does not order) Mr. Fox to take the offered plan distribution and bring this matter to an end.

Dated: New York, New York November <u>27</u>, 2013 s/Robert E. Gerber
United States Bankruptcy Judge

09-50026-reg Dec 12578 Filed 12/16/13 Entered 01/06/14 12:31:12 Main Document UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY OUR+ SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW YORK

DANA H. FOX, PRO-SE

PLANTIFF

CASE OP 8 50026 (REC) CAM 4287?

NETURNED FROM U.S. GORT OF CAREET?

MOTORS LIQUIDATORS FOR

CENERAL MOTORS, INC.

DEFENDANTS

ADDENDANT MOTION TO: COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR

PAYMENT. IN TWO STACES

DUE TO AN ERLANEOUS DECISION IN APPEALS COURT THAT ILLECALLY ICHORES CONSUMER PROTECTION LAWS IN THE UNITED STATES MR. FOX, 320-SE FILED A MOTION, AS JURUDICTION IS RETURNED TO THIS COURT BY APPEALS COURT, TO ADD CONSENSATORY DAWNGOSS TO THE COURT ORDERED PAYMENT OF 119,500,— THAT THE COURT HAS AMARDED MR. FOR PRO-SE; THIC IS IN THE COURT RECORD.

THERE IS AD OBJECTION FIRM OPPOSING COURSEL AND SOURCE IN MONTHS HAVE PLASED SINCE THE MOTION IS IN THE

MA. FOX, 278-50 MUTIONS THAT THE INITIAL AWARD OF \$19,500- BE DAID TO MR FOX, BY CHECK, MAILED TO 955 MASS. AND, #155, CHMBRIOCE, MASS. 02139: PLAINTIFF NEEDS TO CATCH UP ON MEDICAL, DENTAL AND CAR REPARS [IT NEVER ENOS].

AT THE COURT SEES FIT TO AWARD COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
AT THE WAXIMUM ALLOWED BY LAW; THAT PAYMENT CAN BE
MADE AT A LATER DATE; AS PLAINTIFE PROJECT HAS MOTIONED
OBJECTION.

PLANTIFF WILL SIGN A RELEASE FOR THE AUTOMOBILE PAYMENT OF 919,500--, AND FOR THAT PAYMENT ONLY; OTHER PAYMENTS PENDING WILL BE RELEASED AT TIME OF RECEIPT.

I CORTIFY THAT A TRUE COMY
15 SERVED BY U.S. WALL ON A
TONS DATE 11/19/13 DIA
TO: WELL, GOTSHAL EMANCES
ATT. JESEPH SUDLINSKY
FOR AISTRIBUTION TO ALL

RETPECTEULT SUBMITTED

DAUA H. FOX

ADDENDUM MOTION

WHAT HAPPENED TO ALL THE FAUCT SALKRIES, ARREADED, BOATS AND REAL-BYATE THAT CAUSED THIS ALLOWED BANKRUPTCT? WETION TO ATTACK ALL OF IT FOR PAYMENT OF DANKELES ALLARD. D.71-2

MIME-Version:1.0

From:nysbinfo@nysb.uscourts.gov

To:courtmail@localhost.localdomain

Bcc: BMLPC@aol.com, Barry.Kazan@ThompsonHine.com, Docketing@mintz.com, Ferrell@taftlaw.com, JBerlage@GHSLLP.com, James.Newbold@illinois.gov, Joseph.Smol

Do not notice for BK case: alevitt@gelaw.com, cnevers@gelaw.com

Message-Id:<13157585@nysb.uscourts.gov> Subject:09-50026-reg Memorandum Endorsed Order

Content-Type: text/html

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30-page limit do not apply.

U.S. Bankruptcy Court

Southern District of New York

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was received from Blum, Helene entered on 12/2/2013 at 10:38 AM and filed on 12/2/2013

Case Name: Motors Liquidation Company

 Case Number:
 09-50026-reg

 Document Number:
 12556

Docket Text:

Endorsed Order signed on 12/2/2013 re: Addendum Motion to Compensatory Damages. (related document(s)[12555]) (Blum, Helene)

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document
Original filename:GM Fox Endorsed Order.pdf
Electronic document Stamp:
[STAMP NYSBStamp_ID=842906028 [Date=12/2/2013] [FileNumber=13157583-0]
[8bee7be00f30ce453c6b605527b63b9ef64c5d333610cee4697b4d0357dfdc607a9aa
058f2ed1b5425e17c99d8d174f4f23d26bc7aadce37a169e8e193c8af123]]

09-50026-reg Notice will be electronically mailed to:

David G. Aelvoet on behalf of Creditor Bexar County sanantonio.bankruptcy@publicans.com

Jonathan Bradley Alter on behalf of Unknown Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America jonathan.alter@bingham.com

John T. Banks on behalf of Unknown Hidalgo County jbanks@pbfcm.com

Donald F. Baty, Jr. on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company dbaty@honigman.com

Leonora K. Baughman on behalf of Creditor City of Detroit ecf@kaalaw.com

Matthew K. Beatman on behalf of Unknown Westfalia-Automotive GMBH mbeatman@zeislaw.com

Shaya M. Berger on behalf of Unknown Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust bergers@dicksteinshapiro.com, nybankruptcydocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com

Jan I. Berlage on behalf of Creditor Harold Martin JBerlage@GHSLLP.com

David R. Berz on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company gregory.bailey@weil.com

Steven M. Bierman on behalf of Unknown WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. sbierman@sidley.com, emalin@sidley.com;emcdonnell@sidley.com

Kevin Blaney on behalf of Attorney Kevin Blaney kblaney@kevinblaney.com, bmorehead@kevinblaney.com

Steven Harris Blatt on behalf of Unknown Mount Kisco Chevrolet Cadillac Hummer, Inc. sblatt@dealerlaw.com

Eric Alwin Boden on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc Committee of Consumer Victims of General Motors eboden@schnader.com, tclancy@schnader.com

Phillip W. Bohl on behalf of Creditor Willette Acquisition Corp., a/k/a Digital Technologies and Allied Vaughn phillip.bohl@gpmlaw.com

Kirk L. Brett on behalf of Defendant Credit Suisse AG