

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Hearing Date: October 21, 2011, 9:45 a.m.

In re:

MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, *et al.*,  
f/k/a General Motors Corp., *et al.*,

Debtors.

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED  
CREDITORS OF MOTORS LIQUIDATION  
COMPANY, *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

- against -

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE  
TREASURY, EXPORT DEVELOPMENT CANADA,

Defendants.

**No. 09-50026 (REG) (Ch. 11)**

**Adv. P. No. 11-09406 (REG)**

**GOVERNMENT'S REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF  
GOVERNMENT'S CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

PREET BHARARA  
United States Attorney for  
the Southern District of New York  
Attorney for Defendant United States  
Department of the Treasury  
86 Chambers Street, Third Floor  
New York, New York 10007  
Tel: (212) 637-2739  
Fax: (212) 637-2730  
[David.jones6@usdoj.gov](mailto:David.jones6@usdoj.gov)

DAVID S. JONES  
Assistant United States Attorney  
– Of Counsel –

The United States of America, by its attorney Preet Bharara, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, on behalf of the United States of America, including but not limited to the United States Department of the Treasury (“**Treasury**”) as debtor-in-possession (“**DIP**”) lender, respectfully submits this reply memorandum of law in further support of Treasury’s cross-motion for summary judgment.

To minimize repetition, Treasury relies on its initial memorandum opposing plaintiff’s summary judgment motion and supporting Treasury’s cross-motion for summary judgment, as well as Treasury’s papers in support of its motion to dismiss. We make only a few specific observations about Plaintiff’s Reply to Defendants’ Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment and Response to Defendants’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (“**Pl. Reply Mem.**”). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the same meaning as in Treasury’s memorandum in support of its cross-motion.

First, despite the Committee’s continued insistence that the non-recourse nature of the DIP Facility requires a ruling in the Committee’s favor, *see* Pl. Reply Mem. at 1-3, the Committee still has not acknowledged or rebutted the Government’s showing that the same controlling documents specify that the DIP Lenders are entitled to be repaid in the amount outstanding on the DIP Facility, and that that entitlement is on a superpriority administrative expense basis. *See, e.g.*, Final DIP Order at 14 ¶ 5 (DIP Lenders have “allowed super-priority administrative expense claim . . . for all loans, reimbursement obligations and any other indebtedness or obligations, contingent or absolute, which may now or from time to time be owing by any of the Debtors to the DIP Lenders under the DIP Credit Facility”); Wind-Down Order at 4 (“claims of the DIP Lenders arising from the Amended DIP Facility . . . and all other obligations owing to the DIP Lenders under the DIP Credit Facility shall be and are accorded a

super-priority administrative expense status” and “shall have priority over any and all other . . . unsecured claims arising in these cases”). And, while express provisions make clear that the DIP Lenders cannot be paid directly or indirectly from the “Carve-Out” for certain professional fees and the equity interests reserved for unsecured creditors, *see* Government’s Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiff’s Summary Judgment Motion and in Support of Government’s Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (“**Gov’t SJ Mem.**”) at 5, 7, there is no textual basis – let alone DIP Lender agreement – to similarly insulate any estate recovery from the Avoidance Action from being used by the estate to repay the DIP Lenders.<sup>1</sup>

Second, the Committee’s protestations (*see* Pl. Reply Mem. at 3-4, 6) that Treasury’s position leaves unsecured creditors at risk of suffering financial harm by pursuing the Avoidance Action ignores the fact that that action seeks to recover an amount that substantially exceeds the maximum possible repayment obligation under the DIP Facility. Further, because the Committee currently has the sole right to pursue the action, it retains sufficient control over the action to minimize or eliminate the possibility of adverse consequences for unsecured creditors – if necessary by negotiating with parties including the DIP Lenders. Relatedly, neither the Committee’s assertion that the DIP Lenders have not actively participated in the Avoidance Action, nor the Committee’s observation that the DIP Lenders did not file pleadings during the

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff’s new argument that Treasury should be “estopped from arguing that they have any recourse or claim against the Term Loan Avoidance Action,” Pl. Reply at 2 n.2, is a red herring. Treasury does not contend that it has “recourse” against the Avoidance Action in the sense that it used that term at the July 2, 2009 hearing referenced by the Committee. Treasury at all times has acknowledged that it cannot directly enforce security rights against that action. What is at issue is whether the undisputed fact that the DIP Facility is “on a non-recourse basis” negates other provisions expressly giving Treasury a superpriority administrative expense claim in the full amount outstanding on the DIP Facility, notwithstanding the striking absence of any provision making Avoidance Action proceeds off limits for direct or indirect use by the estate to repay the DIP Lenders.

Avoidance Action's early stages, *id.* at 5, is relevant. The Committee undisputedly has had sole entitlement to prosecute the action, and there was no need for the DIP Lenders to take any active role until the Committee first suggested in its Avoidance Action summary judgment papers that the Avoidance Action was for the sole benefit of unsecured creditors.

Finally, Treasury is not "disingenuous[]" (Pl. Reply Mem. at 7) in its observation that it sought to preserve its ability to be repaid from funds that could be realized through the Avoidance Action, especially given the alternative, which is to fail to obtain repayment of taxpayers' billion-dollar financing of this bankruptcy. The Court should reject the Committee's suggestion (*see id.*) that, because Treasury agreed to finance this case to "achieve important public purposes," Treasury therefore lacks a genuine or legitimate interest in recovering as much as possible of its investment of public funds.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated herein and in Treasury's previous filings, the Court should deny the Committee's motion for summary judgment, and, to the extent the complaint is not dismissed, should grant summary judgment in favor of the defendant DIP Lenders.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 28, 2011

PREET BHARARA  
United States Attorney for the  
Southern District of New York,  
Attorney for Defendant

By: /s/ David S. Jones  
DAVID S. JONES  
Assistant United States Attorney  
86 Chambers Street  
New York, New York 10007  
Tel. (212) 637-2739  
Fax (212) 637-2730  
[David.jones6@usdoj.gov](mailto:David.jones6@usdoj.gov)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David S. Jones, am employed in the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York. On September 28, 2011, I caused the foregoing pleading to be served as follows:

By electronic notice via ECF to all persons registered to receive such notice;

By email to the following: Stephen Karotkin ([Stephen.karotkin@weil.com](mailto:Stephen.karotkin@weil.com)); Thomas Moers Mayer ([tmayer@kramerlevin.com](mailto:tmayer@kramerlevin.com)); Michael Edelman ([MJEdelman@vedderprice.com](mailto:MJEdelman@vedderprice.com)); Michael Schein ([MSchein@vedderprice.com](mailto:MSchein@vedderprice.com));

And by first class mail to the Office of the United States Trustee, and to all persons listed on the service list annexed hereto.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

s/ David S. Jones

New York, New York  
September 28, 2011

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION  
SERVICE LIST

Claim Name	Address Information
ADRIAN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, INC.	C/O KENNETH RICHARDS 7533 WILLOW CREEK DRIVE CANTON MI 48187
AIMS/DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC	10 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO IL 60606
AIMS/LATHROP & GAGE LC	2345 GRAND BLVD. KANSAS CITY MO 64108
AIMS/STEPHENS & STEPHENS	410 MAIN STREET BUFFALO NY 14202
ARCADIS BBL	10559 CITATION DRIVE SUITE 100 BRIGHTON MI 48118
ARCADIS BBL	ATTN: CHRIS PETERS 10559 CITATION DRIVE SUITE 100 BRIGHTON MI 48118
ARCADIS GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.	ATTN: CHRIS PETERS 10559 CITATION DRIVE SUITE 100 BRIGHTON MI 48118
BT2, INC.	ATTN: MARK HUBER 2830 DAIRY DRIVE MADISON WI 53718-6751
CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF FLINT	ATTN: SANDRA S WRIGHT 1490 S. DYE ROAD FLINT MI 48532
CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF YPSILANTI	LARRY J. DOE, TREASURER 7200 S. HURON RIVER DR. YPSILANTI MI 48197
CHARTER TWP. OF GENESEE	ATTN: TOM MANNOR, TREASURER 7244 N. GENESEE ROAD P.O. BOX 215 GENESEE MI 48437
CITY OF SAGINAW, TREASURER	1315 S. WASHINGTON AVE. SAGINAW MI 48601
CITY OF SIOUX CITY	CITY TREASURER P.O. BOX 447 SIOUX CITY IA 51102
CLEAN HARBORS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	P.O. BOX 3442 BOSTON MA 02241-3442
CONESTOGA-ROVERS & ASSOC.	ATTN: BETH LANDALE 22055 NIAGARA FALLS BLVD. SUITE #3 NIAGARA FALLS NY 14304
CONESTOGA-ROVERS & ASSOCIATES	22055 NIAGARA FALLS BLVD SUITE #3 NIAGARA FALLS NY 14304
ENCORE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSORTIUM	ATTN: MARK QUILTER P.O. BOX 66 6723 TOWPATH ROAD SYRACUSE NY 13214-0066
ENVIRON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	214 CARNEGIE STREET PRINCETON NJ 08540
ENVIRON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	214 CARNEGIE STREET PRINCETON NJ 08540-1980
FAVERO GEOSCIENCES	ATTN: DAVE FAVERO 1210 SOUTH 5TH STREET, SUITE 2 SPRINGFIELD IL 62703
GENERAL OIL COMPANY, INC.	35796 VERONICA ST. LIVONIA MI 48150
GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING INC.	6140 HILL 23 DRIVE SUITE 1 FLINT MI 48507
GROUNDWATER & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC	440 CREAMERY WAY SUITE 500 EXTON PA 19341-2577
HALEY & ALDRICH DESIGN AND CONTRUCTION	56 ROLAND STREET BOSTON MA 02129-1400
HALEY & ALDRICH OF NEW YORK	200 TOWN CENTRE DRIVE, STE 2 ROCHESTER NY 14623-4264
HDR ENGINEERING	ATTN: DICK BELL 8404 INDIAN HILLS DRIVE OMAHA NE 68114
IOWA DEPT OF NATIONAL RESOURCES	HAZARDOUS WASTE REMEDIAL FUND 502 E. 9TH STREET DES MOINES IA 50319-0034
J.A. LOMBARDO & ASSOCIATES	ATTN: JOSEPH A. LOMBARDO 445 S. LIVERNOIS - SUITE 202 ROCHESTER MI 48307
NOVA CONSULTANTS, INC	21580 NOVI ROAD #300 NOVI MI 48375
O'BRIEN & GERE ENGINEERS, INC.	ATTN: TERRY L. BROWN 5000 BRITTONFIELD PKWY SYRACUSE NY 13057-9226
ROYAL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	720 LEXINGTON AVENUE P.O. BOX 15719 ROCHESTER NY 14615
SEVENSON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.	2749 LOCKPORT ROAD NIAGARA FALLS NY 14302
THE BANK OF NEW YORK	FINANCIAL CONTROL BILLING DEPARTMENT P.O. BOX 19445 NEWARK NJ 07195-0445
THE BARTECH GROUP	17199 NORTH LAUREL PARK DR. SUITE224 LIVONIA MI 48152
TOWN OF FRAMINGHAM	TAX COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 150 CONCORD ST FRAMINGHAM MA 01702
WASHTENAW COUNTY TREASURER	P.O. BOX 8645 200 N. MAIN ST STE 200 ANN ARBOR MI 48107-8645
WASTE MANAGEMENT	P.O. BOX 9001054 LOUISVILLE KY 40290-1054
WDC EXPLORATION & WELLS	500 MAIN STREET WOODLAND CA 95695
YOUNG'S ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, INC	G-5305 NORTH DORT HIGHWAY FLINT MI 48505

**Total Creditor count 39**